Modified Level II Streambed-Scour Analysis for Structure I-465-131-5256 Crossing Williams Creek in Hamilton County, Indiana

By BRET A. ROBINSON, DAVID C. VOELKER, and ROBERT L. MILLER

Prepared in cooperation with the INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Open-File Report 97-324



Indianapolis, Indiana 1997

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Gordon P. Eaton, Director

For additional information, write to: District Chief U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Division 5957 Lakeside Boulevard Indianapolis, IN 46278-1996 Copies of this report can be purchased from: U.S. Geological Survey Branch of Information Services Box 25286 Federal Center Denver, CO 80225

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Background and Scope	1
Site Description	2
Evaluation Methods	3
Special Considerations	6
Results	6
References	6
Appendix	7
Water Surface PROfile Model (WSPRO) Input File	8
Water Surface PROfile Model (WSPRO) Output	9
Tables	
Cumulative scour depths for the modeled discharges at structure I-465-131-5256 crossing Williams Creek in Hamilton County, Indiana	5

CONVERSION FACTORS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
inch (in.)	25.4	millimeter
,		
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter
square foot (ft ²)	929.0	square centimeter
feet per second (ft/s)	0.3048	meters per second
cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer
square mile (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometer

Abbreviations used in this report:

D_{50}	median diameter of bed material
Q100	100-year discharge
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
HEC	Hydraulic Engineering Circular
IDNR	Indiana Department of Natural Resources
INDOT	Indiana Department of Transportation
USGS	U. S. Geological Survey
WSPRO	Water Surface PROfile model

Modified Level II Streambed-Scour Analysis for Structure I-465-131-5256 Crossing Williams Creek in Hamilton County, Indiana

By Bret A. Robinson, David C. Voelker, and Robert L. Miller

ABSTRACT

Level II scour evaluations follow a process in which hydrologic, hydraulic, and sediment-transport data are evaluated to calculate the depth of scour that may result when a given discharge is routed through a bridge opening. The results of the modified Level II analysis for structure I-465-131-5256 on Interstate 465 crossing Williams Creek in Hamilton County, Indiana, are presented. The site is near the city of Indianapolis and is in the southwestern part of Hamilton County. Scour depths were computed with the Water Surface PROfile model, version V050196, which incorporates the scour-calculation procedures outlined in Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18. Total scour depths at the piers were approximately 6.5 feet for the modeled discharge of 4,300 cubic feet per second and approximately 9.8 feet for the modeled discharge of 7,310 cubic feet per second.

INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT), is conducting Level II scour analyses at a number of bridges throughout Indiana. This report describes the methods applied and the modeling results for bridge I-465-131-5256.

Background and Scope

Level I scour assessment is a process where a large number of bridges are studied as a group. Assessments usually are made by evaluating a combination of geomorphic, hydrologic, and bridge-characteristic data. The results help investigators determine which bridges appear to be most likely to experience streambed-scour problems and which bridges appear to be relatively immune to problems brought on by streambed scour (for example, bridges built on bedrock).

When applied correctly, Level I scour assessments provide an investigator with information to identify those bridges that appear to be relatively safe and those bridges that fall into higher risk categories.

Level II scour evaluations describe the process for an investigator to apply a model to a bridge site and calculate the potential depth of scour that may result from a given flood event. Level II analyses involve the application of basic hydrologic, hydraulic, and sediment-transport engineering concepts and may include an evaluation of flood history, channel hydraulic conditions (for example, water-surface profile analysis), and basic sediment-transport analyses such as scour calculations (Lagasse and others, 1995).

The methods and model outlined in Hydraulic Engineering Circular (HEC) No. 18 (Richardson and Davis, 1995) formulate the basis for Level II scour evaluations. Methods used in this study for Level II scour evaluations are a modification of the HEC-18 standards. These modifications were made to comply with the methodology requested by INDOT (Merril Dougherty, Indiana Department of Transportation, oral commun., 1996). Descriptions of the specific modifications are given in the "Evaluation Methods" section of this report.

This report presents the methods followed for modeling, special considerations for this study site, and the input for and the output from the Water Surface PROfile (WSPRO) model.

Site Description

The study site is located near the city of Indianapolis and is in the southwestern part of Hamilton County. The drainage area for the site is approximately 16.9 mi² (Merril Dougherty, Indiana Department of Transportation, written commun., 1997). The predominant land use in the basin is urban; in the immediate vicinity of the bridge, the land is predominantly brush covered with some suburban areas nearby.

Within the immediate vicinity of the bridge, Williams Creek has a channel-bed slope of approximately 0.0025 ft/ft. The channel-bed material is gravelly sandy silt-clay, and the channel banks consist of sandy silt-clay. At the time of the Level I site visit on August 3, 1994, the banks were observed to have 0 to 50 percent woody vegetative cover; the field report noted that the banks were experiencing some fluvial erosion.

The Interstate 465 crossing of Williams Creek is a 111-ft-long, multi-lane bridge consisting of three spans supported by concrete and steel piers and sloping spill-through abutments. Additional details describing conditions at the site are included in the Level I data base (Hopkins and Robinson, unpub. data, 1997). Photographs of the site, taken at the time of the Level I site visit, are archived at the USGS office in Indianapolis.

EVALUATION METHODS

The methods described in this section apply to a number of bridge sites in Indiana being evaluated for scour and outline the procedures requested by INDOT for these modified Level II scour analyses. The principal modification requested by INDOT was that the input data to the model come from or be estimated from existing data sources; no additional field data were collected. Actual methods used in the scour evaluation at this particular bridge site use the most applicable method possible, given the data available.

To determine drainage area, either published values found in Hoggatt (1975) or USGS 7.5-minute topographic maps with Hoggatt's original drainage-area delineations were used. Where there are no published data, drainage-area segments measured from the maps produced by Hoggatt were either subtracted from downstream sites or added to upstream sites published by Hoggatt (1975).

In Indiana, flood discharges are coordinated by agreement among State and Federal agencies. At sites where flood discharges officially are coordinated among State and Federal agencies in Indiana, the coordinated 100-year discharge (Q100) was modeled. INDOT also provided an additional flood discharge for these coordinated sites in excess of the Q100 to be modeled.

If a flood discharge was not coordinated, the USGS examined Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) studies for Q100 determinations. Where FEMA studies did not produce a Q100, the USGS contacted IDNR for an estimated Q100 in the vicinity of the site being studied. If IDNR did not have a Q100, data from nearby USGS streamflow-gaging stations were analyzed with nearby and similar drainage basins that have been coordinated. At sites having no coordinated discharge data, the two discharges used in the model were 1) the approximated Q100 and 2) a discharge equal to 1.7 times the approximated Q100.

Most of the cross-section and bridge-opening geometry data were taken from the bridge plans (Indiana State Highway Commission, 1965) provided by INDOT. Bridge plans are presumed to be representative of current conditions at the site. To determine the cross-section geometry, a line was drawn on the bridge plans parallel to the bridge stationing and approximately one bridge width from the bridge. For sites where the bridge plans did not extend far enough laterally for collection of all cross-section data required for WSPRO model analysis, additional data were collected from 7.5-minute topographic maps.

The roadway and embankment profile was taken from the bridge and highway plans for those sites where roadway overtopping was expected. The INDOT bridge plans and 7.5-minute topographic maps were used as a guide, based on the water-surface elevations calculated by the WSPRO model, to determine if roadway overtopping might occur.

Roughness values (*n*-values) for the main channel were estimated by viewing photographs archived from the Level I scour assessments. The *n*-values for the overbanks were assigned on the basis of the surface-cover data summarized in the Level I data base (Hopkins and Robinson, unpub. data, 1997). From those data, the following roughness values were assigned to the surface-cover categories: urban—0.050, suburban—0.035, row crop—0.045, pasture—0.035, brush—0.120, forest—0.100, and wetland (any area covered by standing water)—0.100. The *n*-values for the overbanks were adjusted if the Level I photographs provided sufficient detail to warrant an adjustment.

WSPRO version V050196 was used to model flow through the study site. Starting watersurface elevation was obtained with a slope-conveyance computation. The channel-bed slope in the immediate vicinity of the bridge was estimated from the 7.5-minute topographic map and was used as the slope of the energy grade line for this computation.

WSPRO version V050196 includes a field that allows the input of up to four scour-adjustment factors (K1 to K4). For this modeling, the default value for K4 (bed armoring) was chosen. For scour-adjustment factors K1 and K2 (pier-nose shape and angle of attack, respectively), input values were determined by evaluating the data archived in the Level I data base (Hopkins and Robinson, unpub. data, 1997). For the K3 factor (bed forms), a value of 1.1 was applied in all cases.

In some cases, piers set on the overbanks are constructed with footings that are higher in elevation than pier footings in the main channel. In these situations, if the channel position changes, the piers that were initially constructed on the overbank may become part of the main channel. Therefore, to evaluate total potential scour, the model results obtained for contraction scour and deepest local scour in the main channel were added and applied to all piers in the bridge opening. This methodology allowed for an evaluation of potential undermining of pier supports in the event that future channel movement placed overbank piers in the main channel.

Where bridge pairs have a continuous abutment or fill between the bridges that does not allow expansion of flow, the bridge pair was modeled as one bridge. Sites with discontinuous abutments, allowing expansion between the bridges, were modeled as two separate bridges. In those cases, a valley cross section was measured between the bridges and used as the approach section for the downstream bridge and as the exit section for the upstream bridge.

At sites with no embankment to function as a weir or at sites where the tailwater drowns out the embankment, a composite bridge and road section was used to compute flow. Those sites were computed with friction-loss equations rather than with a bridge routine.

Total scour is taken as the sum of local scour plus contraction scour. If the model predicted negative contraction scour (aggradation), the contraction-scour value was assumed to be zero in determining the total scour depth (table 1). This assumption was made so that a negative contraction scour would not mask the potentially detrimental effects of local scour at a pier. No abutment scour evaluations were made in this study.

Table 1. Cumulative scour depths for the modeled discharges at structure I-465-131-5256 crossing Williams Creek in Hamilton County, Indiana

Pier number ¹	Stationing from bridge plans ²	Initial bed- elevation at pier (feet)	Main- channel contrac- tion scour depth (feet)	Local scour depth (feet)	Worst- case total- scour depth ³ (feet)	Bottom elevation of pier (feet)	Worst- case bed elevation after scour ⁴ (feet)
		Modeled	discharge ⁵ is 4,3	00 cubic feet p	er second		
1	609+09	788	0	6,5	6.5	780.3	779.1
2	609+51	788	0	6.5	6.5	780.4	779.1
		Modeled	discharge is 7,31	10 cubic feet p	er second		
1	609+09	788	2.4	7.4	9.8	780.3	775.8
2	609+51	788	2.4	7.4	9.8	780.4	775.8

¹Pier numbers were assigned from left to right as shown on the bridge plans.

²Stationing is the center line of the pier as determined from the bridge plans. Stationing from bridge plan, 609+09, represents a point 60,909 feet from an arbitrary starting location referenced on the bridge plans.

³Worst-case total-scour depths are generated by summing the calculated contraction-scour depth with the worst case of local scour.

⁴Worst-case bed elevation is computed by subtracting the worst-case total-scour depth from the lowest initial bed elevation in the bridge opening (785.6 feet).

⁵Coordinated discharge.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Model runs indicate the water-surface elevation at the bridge is lower than the low-steel elevation for the modeled discharges. Therefore, there should be no pressure flow through the bridge opening for the discharges modeled.

RESULTS

Scour depths were computed with a version of WSPRO (Larry Arneson, Federal Highway Administration, written commun., 1996) modified from Shearman (1990). This version of WSPRO includes scour calculations in the model output. Scour depths were calculated assuming an infinite depth of material that could erode and a homogeneous particle-size distribution. The results of the scour analysis are presented in table 1; a complete input file and output results are presented in the appendix.

REFERENCES

- Hoggatt, R.E., 1975, Drainage areas of Indiana streams: U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, 231 p.
- Indiana State Highway Commission, 1965, Bridge plans Interstate Route 465: Bridge File I-465-131-5256.
- Lagasse, P.F.; Schall, J.D.; Johnson, F.; Richardson, E.V.; and Chang, F., 1995, Stream stability at highway structures (2d ed.): Federal Highway Administration, Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 20, Publication FHWA-IP-90-014, 144 p.
- Richardson, E.V., and Davis, S.R., 1995, Evaluating scour at bridges (3d ed.): Federal Highway Administration, Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18, Publication FHWA-IP-90-017, 204 p.
- Shearman, J.O., 1990, User's manual for WSPRO, a computer model for water-surface profile computations: Federal Highway Administration Publication FHWA-IP-89-027, 177 p.

APPENDIX

WSPRO INPUT FILE

```
T1
          I-465 Over Williams Creek I-465-131-5256
T2
          County: Hamilton
                                    Quad: Carmel 111A
Т3
          4-11-97
                                    Bret A. Robinson
SI
          0
0
          4300
Q
          7310
          .0025 .0025
SK
XS
     EXIT 0 0
GR
          60411 820 60538 805 60614 800 60696 795 60809 790 60893 790
         60909 789 60915 785.6 60946 785.6 60953 789 61078 795 61193 800
GR
          61225 805 61305 820
GR
N
          .12
               .032 .12
            60890 60950
SA
     FULLV110 0
XS
          60411 820 60538 805 60614 800 60696 795 60809 790 60893 790
GR
         60909 789 60915 785.6 60946 785.6 60953 789 61078 795 61193 800
GR
GR
          61225 805 61305 820
          .12
N
              .032 .12
                    60950
             60890
SA
     BRDGE110 801 0
BR
         60877 0801.2 60877 0800.8 60885 0800.7 60917 0785.7 60945 0785.7
GR
GR
         60976 0801.3 60984 0801.3 60984 0801.8 60955 0801.6 60922 0801.5
GR
          60890 0801.3 60877 0801.2
          .035 .032 .035
           60900
                 60970
SA
         789 3 1
PD
CD
          3 130 2 800.7
          LXBr RXBr LXApp RXApp * TPierW
DC 0 BRDGE 60889 60972 60910 60960 * 3
          60877 60984 2 * * 1 1 1.1
          60877 60984 2 * * 1 1 1.1
DΡ
    APPR 370 0
XS
GR
         60518 820 60558 815 60592 810 60636 805 60741 800 60809 795
         60908 789 60918 785.6 60943 785.6 60952 789 61144 795 61329 800
GR
GR
         61486 820
         .120 .032
                     .120
            60910 60960
SA
EX
ER
```

```
******************** W S P R O ***************
        Federal Highway Administration - U. S. Geological Survey
               Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations.
          Run Date & Time: 8/6/97 10:15 am Version V050196
           Input File: 5256.dat Output File: 5256.LST
     I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
  T1
           COUNTY: HAMILTON QUAD: CARMEL 111A
  T2
  Т3
          4-11-97
                                   BRET A. ROBINSON
  SI
           0
           4300
  Q
  0
          7310
   *** Processing Flow Data; Placing Information into Sequence 1 ***
  SK
            .0025 .0025
     Federal Highway Administration - U. S. Geological Survey
              Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations.
             Input Units: English / Output Units: English
     *-----
              I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
             COUNTY: HAMILTON QUAD: CARMEL 111A
             4-11-97
                                    BRET A. ROBINSON
           *-----
                Starting To Process Header Record EXIT
           *-----
       EXIT 0 0
  XS
          60411 820 60538 805 60614 800 60696 795 60809 790 60893 790
  GR
            60909 789 60915 785.6 60946 785.6 60953 789 61078 795
  GR
61193 800
          61225 805 61305 820
            .12 .032 .12
  N
  SA
            60890 60950
   *** Completed Reading Data Associated With Header Record EXIT
   *** Storing X-Section Data In Temporary File As Record Number 1 ***
   * * *
                                                                 ***
                   Data Summary For Header Record EXIT
   SRD Location: 0. Cross-Section Skew: .0 Error Code
   Valley Slope: .00000 Averaging Conveyance By Geometric Mean.
   Energy Loss Coefficients -> Expansion: .50 Contraction: .00
                      X,Y-coordinates (14 pairs)
                Y
                                       Y
                              Х
   --------
            -----
                        -----
                                               ......
               820.000 60538.000 805.000 60614.000
   60411.000
                                                             800.000

      60696.000
      795.000
      60809.000
      790.000
      60893.000
      790.000

      60909.000
      789.000
      60915.000
      785.600
      60946.000
      785.600

      60953.000
      789.000
      61078.000
      795.000
      61193.000
      800.000

      61225.000
      805.000
      61305.000
      820.000
      800.000
```

```
_____
                                          ......
                Minimum and Maximum X, Y-coordinates
    Minimum X-Station: 60411.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
    Maximum X-Station:
                    61305.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
    Minimum Y-Elevation: 785.600 (associated X-Station: 60946.000)
    Maximum Y-Elevation: 820.000 (associated X-Station: 60411.000)
                  Roughness Data ( 3 SubAreas )
                        Roughness Horizontal
                 SubArea Coefficient Breakpoint
                       -----
                           .120
                           - - -
                                   *****
                           .032
                   2
                           - - -
                   3
                           .120
                        -----
          *----
               Finished Processing Header Record EXIT
         *-----*
     ******************** W S P R O ***************
       Federal Highway Administration - U. S. Geological Survey
            Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations.
            Input Units: English / Output Units: English
     *-----
            I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
           COUNTY: HAMILTON
                               OUAD: CARMEL 111A
           4-11-97
                                BRET A. ROBINSON
         Starting To Process Header Record FULLV
      FULLV110 0
  XS
  GR
          60411 820 60538 805 60614 800 60696 795 60809 790 60893 790
          60909 789 60915 785.6 60946 785.6 60953 789 61078 795
  GR
61193 800
          61225 805 61305 820
  GR
  N
          .12 .032 .12
  SA
            60890 60950
   *** Completed Reading Data Associated With Header Record FULLV
   *** Storing X-Section Data In Temporary File As Record Number 2 ***
                Data Summary For Header Record FULLV
   SRD Location:
                 110. Cross-Section Skew: .0 Error Code
   Valley Slope: .00000
                      Averaging Conveyance By Geometric Mean.
   Energy Loss Coefficients -> Expansion: .50 Contraction: .00
                   X, Y-coordinates (14 pairs)
               Y
                          Х
                                  Y
                                              X
                                                      Y
      Х
```

```
-----
                    -----
                                      -----
   60411.000 820.000 60538.000
                             805.000 60614.000
                                                 800,000
   60696.000
            795.000 60809.000
                              790.000 60893.000
                                                790.000
            789.000 60915.000
789.000 61078.000
                              785.600 60946.000
   60909.000
                                                 785.600
                              795.000 61193.000
   60953.000
                                                 800.000
   61225.000 805.000 61305.000 820.000
                    .....
  Minimum and Maximum X, Y-coordinates
   Minimum X-Station: 60411.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
   Maximum X-Station: 61305.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
   Minimum Y-Elevation: 785.600 (associated X-Station: 60946.000)
   Maximum Y-Elevation: 820.000 (associated X-Station: 60411.000)
                Roughness Data ( 3 SubAreas )
                      Roughness Horizontal
               SubArea Coefficient Breakpoint
               ......
                        .120
                        - - -
                               *****
                 2
                        .032
                        - - -
                               ******
                        .120
                 3
         *-----
             Finished Processing Header Record FULLV
         *------
    ******************** W S P R O ****************
      Federal Highway Administration - U. S. Geological Survey
           Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations.
          Input Units: English / Output Units: English
    *----*
           I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
          COUNTY: HAMILTON
                            QUAD: CARMEL 111A
                            BRET A. ROBINSON
          4-11-97
        *-----
            Starting To Process Header Record BRDGE
        *-----*
     BRDGE110 801 0
  BR
      60877 0801.2 60877 0800.8 60885 0800.7 60917 0785.7 60945
  GR
0785.7
         60976 0801.3 60984 0801.3 60984 0801.8 60955 0801.6 60922
  GR
0801.5
        60890 0801.3 60877 0801.2
  GR
  N
         .035 .032 .035
         60900 60970
  SA
  PD
         789 3 1
        3 130 2 800.7
  CD
```

```
+++072 NOTICE: X-coordinate # 2 increased to eliminate vertical segment.
+++072 NOTICE: X-coordinate # 8 increased to eliminate vertical segment.
  *** Storing Bridge Data In Temporary File As Record Number 3 ***
  ***
               Data Summary For Bridge Record BRDGE
               110. Cross-Section Skew: .0 Error Code 0
  SRD Location:
  Valley Slope: ****** Averaging Conveyance By Geometric Mean.
  Energy Loss Coefficients -> Expansion: .50 Contraction: .00
                 X,Y-coordinates (12 pairs)
                                 Y
                                            Х
                        Х
 -----
                                800.800 60885.000
  60877.000
            801.200 60877.100
                                                     800.700
                                785.700 60976.000
  60917.000
                                                      801.300
            785.700 60945.000
  60984.000
            801.300
                     60984.100
                                801.800 60955.000
                                                      801.600
                     60890.000
                                801.300
                                         60877.000
  60922.000
            801.500
                                                      801.200
                              ------
                     -----
               Minimum and Maximum X, Y-coordinates
  Minimum X-Station: 60877.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 801.200)
  Maximum X-Station: 60984.100 (associated Y-Elevation: 801.800)
  Minimum Y-Elevation: 785.700 (associated X-Station: 60945.000)
  Maximum Y-Elevation: 801.800 (associated X-Station: 60984.100)
                 Roughness Data ( 3 SubAreas )
                       Roughness Horizontal
                SubArea Coefficient Breakpoint
                ......
                          .035
                          - - -
                          .032
                          - - -
                          .035
                _____
               Discharge coefficient parameters
            BRType BRWdth EMBSS EMBElv UserCD
              3
                  130.000 2.00 800.700 *******
                   Pressure flow elevations
                             PFElev
                     AVBCEL
                   ****** 801.000
                    Abutment Parameters
       ABSLPL ABSLPR XTOELT YTOELT XTOERT
       Pier/Pile Data ( 1 Group(s) )
              Code Indicates Bridge Uses Piers
             Group Elevation Gross Width Number
                  -----
                   789.000
                               3.000
```

```
Finished Processing Header Record BRDGE
            Federal Highway Administration - U. S. Geological Survey
            Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations.
            Input Units: English / Output Units: English
     *----
            I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
           COUNTY: HAMILTON
                               QUAD: CARMEL 111A
                                BRET A. ROBINSON
           4-11-97
  DC 0 BRDGE 60889 60972 60910 60960 * 3
      60877 60984 2 * * 1 1 1.1
          60877 60984 2 * * 1 1 1.1
  DP
         *----
               Starting To Process Header Record APPR
     APPR 370 0
  XS
          60518 820 60558 815 60592 810 60636 805 60741 800 60809 795
  GR
          60908 789 60918 785.6 60943 785.6 60952 789 61144 795
  GR
61329 800
  GR
         61486 820
          .120 .032
  N
                     .120
            60910 60960
  SA
   *** Completed Reading Data Associated With Header Record APPR
       Storing X-Section Data In Temporary File As Record Number 4 ***
   ***
                Data Summary For Header Record APPR
                                                        * * *
                  370. Cross-Section Skew: .0 Error Code
   SRD Location:
   Valley Slope: .00000 Averaging Conveyance By Geometric Mean.
   Energy Loss Coefficients -> Expansion: .50 Contraction: .00
                   X,Y-coordinates (13 pairs)
                                  Y
      Х
              Y
                          X
                                             X
                                                      Y
                     .....
                                          -----
   60518.000
             820.000 60558.000
                                 815.000
                                          60592.000
                                                      810.000
   60636.000
             805.000 60741.000
                                 800.000 60809.000
                                                      795.000
             789.000 60918.000
   60908,000
                                  785.600 60943.000
                                                      785,600
   60952.000
             789.000 61144.000
                                  795.000
                                          61329.000
   61486.000
             820.000
               Minimum and Maximum X, Y-coordinates
   Minimum X-Station: 60518.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
   Maximum X-Station: 61486.000 (associated Y-Elevation: 820.000)
   Minimum Y-Elevation: 785.600 (associated X-Station: 60943.000)
   Maximum Y-Elevation: 820.000 (associated X-Station: 60518.000)
```

-13-

Roughness Data (3 SubAreas)

	S	SubArea	Roughness Coefficient	Horizontal Breakpoint		
	-	_	120			
		1	.120	*****		
		2	.032			
		2		*****		
	-	3	.120			
Bridge da	atum projecti		XREFLT XRE			
		ished P	rocessing Hea	ader Record	APPR *	
F	Federal Highw Model Input U I-465 C	ay Admi for Wat nits: E VER WIL HAMILTO	nistration er-Surface Pr nglish / Ou	U. S. Geo cofile Compu tput Units: I-465-131-5	English 256 111A	7
ĽA						
			======== oundary Condi			
	* Summa	ry of B		ltion Inform	ation *	
#	* Summa *======= Reach	ry of B ====== Water	oundary Condi ========= Surface Fi	tion Inform ========= ciction	ation * =====*	
	* Summa *====== Reach Discharge	ry of B ====== Water Ele	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * ======* Flow Regime	
1 2	* Summa *====================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele ***	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * ======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical Sub-Critical	
1	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele ***	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * ======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical	
1 2	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** ginning	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * =======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical Sub-Critical	
1 2	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** ginning	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * =======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical Sub-Critical	
1 2	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** *** ginning ======	oundary Condi	tion Inform	ation * =======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical Sub-Critical	
 1 2 	* Summa *==================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** ginning ====== ****** ay Admi: for Wat	oundary Condi	tion Inform ciction Slope .0025 .0025 .0025 Calculation(ation * =======* Flow Regime	***
 1 2 	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** ginning ====== ****** ay Admi: for Water	Surface From the street of the	ciction Inform Ciction Ciope C	ation * =======* Flow Regime	***
 1 2 	* Summa *===================================	ry of B ====== Water Ele *** *** ginning ====== ******* ay Admi: for Water nits: E: VVER WIL	Surface From the state of the s	ciction Inform Ciction Ciope C	ation * =======* Flow Regime Sub-Critical Sub-Critical =======* s) * =======* **************************	***

	CRWS	НО	FR #	SF	ALPHA	ERR
Section: EXIT Header Type: XS SRD: .000	793.921 794.752 792.407		4300.000 3.675 .690	1170.048 85978.46 *****	****** ******* 3.958	60720.390 61055.520 *****
Section: FULLV Header Type: FV SRD: 110.000	794.300 795.003 792.407	.702 .246 .000	4300.000 3.307 .616	1300.384 96044.64 .0022		60711.810 61063.430 .004
<<< The Pre	eceding Da	ata Refl	ect The "Un	constricted	d" Profile	>>>
Section: APPR Header Type: AS SRD: 370.000	794.737 795.639 792.857	.902 .539 .100	4300.000 3.682 .705	1167.780 92877.37 .0021		60813.340 61135.570 003

<<< The Preceding Data Reflect The "Unconstricted" Profile >>>

<<< The Following Data Reflect The "Constricted" Profile >>>
 <<< Beginning Bridge/Culvert Hydraulic Computations >>>

	EGEL	HF	V	AREA K SF	FLEN	REW
Section: BRDGE Header Type: BR SRD: 110.000	796.056	.468	11.934		110.000	
Specific Bridge	Information	С	P/A PFE	LEV BLEN	XLAB	XRAB
Bridge Type 3 Pier/Pile Code	Flow Type 1	.9850	.040 801	.000 *****	* ****	** ******
	WSEL	VHD	Q	AREA	SRDL	LEW
	EGEL	HF	V	K	FLEN	REW
	CRWS	НО	FR #	SF	ALPHA	ERR
Section: APPR	796.974	.388	4300.000	2014.247	130.000	60782.150
Header Type: AS						
SRD: 370.000						
Apr	roach Section	on APPR	Flow Cont	raction Info	rmation	
				XRKQ		

Approach Section APPR Flow Contraction Information M(G) M(K) KQ XLKQ XRKQ OTEL

.803 .264 115326.0 ******* ****** 796.974

<<< End of Bridge Hydraulics Computations >>>

Model for Water-Surface Profile Computations. Input Units: English / Output Units: English

I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256
COUNTY: HAMILTON QUAD: CARMEL 111A
4-11-97 BRET A. ROBINSON

	WSEL	VHD	Q	AREA	SRDL	LEW
	EGEL	HF	V	K	FLEN	REW
	CRWS	HO	FR #	SF	ALPHA	ERR
Section: EXIT	795.913	1.060	7310.000	1922.222	*****	60681.020
Header Type: XS	796.973	*****	3.803	146110.30	*****	61099.000
SRD: .000	794.088	*****	.679	*****	4.713	*****
Section: FULLV	796.292	.923	7310.000	2083.619	110.000	60674.800
Header Type: FV	797.216	.252	3.508	159631.80	110.000	61107.730
SRD: 110.000	794.088	.000	.619	.0023	4.822	010

<>< The Preceding Data Reflect The "Unconstricted" Profile >>>

Section: APPR 796.721 1.227 7310.000 1905.638 260.000 60785.600 Header Type: AS 797.948 .584 3.836 149006.90 260.000 61207.660 SRD: 370.000 794.869 .152 .737 .0022 5.362 -.004

<<< The Preceding Data Reflect The "Unconstricted" Profile >>>

<<< The Following Data Reflect The "Constricted" Profile >>>
 <<< Beginning Bridge/Culvert Hydraulic Computations >>>

===210 QUESTIONABLE CRITICAL-FLOW SOLUTION AT SECID "BRDGE". Q, CRWS: 7310.00 795.87

	WSEL	VHD	Q	AREA	SRDL	LEW
	EGEL	HF	V	K	FLEN	REW
	CRWS	НО	FR #	SF	ALPHA	ERR
Section: BRDGE	795.869	3.921	7310.000	497.749	110.000 6	0895.310
Header Type: BR	799.789 *	* * * * *	14.686	84705.07	110.000 6	0965.210
SRD: 110.000	795.869 *	****	1.049	* * * * *	1.169	*****
Specific Bridge	Information	C I	P/A PFELE	V BLEN	XLAB	XRAB
Bridge Type 3	Flow Type 1		·			
Pier/Pile Code	0	.9250 .	041 801.0	00 ******	* ******	*****
			·			
	WSEL '	VHD	Q	AREA	SRDL	LEW
	WSEL EGEL	VHD HF	Q V	AREA K	SRDL FLEN	LEW REW
	_					
	EGEL	HF	V	K	FLEN	REW
Section: APPR	EGEL	HF HO	V FR #	K	FLEN	REW ERR
Section: APPR Header Type: AS	EGEL CRWS	HF HO	V FR # 7310.000	K SF	FLEN ALPHA	REW ERR
	EGEL CRWS 800.786 801.122	нғ но .336	V FR # 7310.000	K SF 4032.697	FLEN ALPHA 130.000 6	REW ERR

	Approach M(G) M	(K)	KQ	XLKQ	XRKQ	OTEL	
		.373	202662.2	*****	*****	800.78	
	<<< End	of Brid	ge Hydra	ulics Com <u>r</u>	outations	3 >>>	
Fede		Adminis r Water- ts: Engl	tration Surface : ish / (- U.S. Profile Co Output Uni	Geologic mputation ts: Engl	cal Surve ons. ish	У
*		R WILLIA	MS CREEK	I-465-13	31-5256		*
*** Live-1	Bed Contrac	tion Sco	ur Calcu	lations fo	r Header	Record 1	BRDGE ***
	C	onstants	and Inp	ıt Variabl	es		
	Bed Mater Total Pie	ial Tran r Width	sport Moo Value	de Factor	(k1): (Pw): 3	.64	
# Depth (Flow Contract App	proach	Contract	ith Approach	Side	Contract	Approach
1066 4		042.667 nel Dept	80.000 h: 10.2	50.000	Left: Right:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
	proach Chan	nel Depti	h: 14.0	98	Right:	*****	*****
*****	Input Uni	****** Adminis r Water-: ts: Engl:	W S P R tration Surface B	0 ***** - U.S. Profile Co	******* Geologic mputatio ts: Engl	al Survey	****
*		R WILLIA	MS CREEK	I-465-13	1-5256 MEL 111A		*
***	Pier Scou	r Calcula	ations fo	or Header	Record B	RDGE ***	ŧ
	Co	onstants	and Inpu	ıt Variabl	es		
	*	Pier V	Width:			- *	

		Pier Shape Factor (K1): 1.00 Flow Angle of Attack Factor (K2): 1.00 Bed Condition Factor (K3): 1.10 Bed Material Factor (K4): 1.00 Velocity Multiplier (VM): 1.00 Depth Multiplier (YM): 1.00 **
#	Depth	Localized Hydraulic Properties X-Stations Flow WSE Depth Velocity Froude # Left Right
1	6.49 7.39	4300.000 794.770 9.070 12.334 .722 60877.000 60984.000 7310.000 796.922 11.222 15.614 .821 60877.000 60984.000
	Fede	************* W S P R O *********************************
	*	I-465 OVER WILLIAMS CREEK I-465-131-5256 COUNTY: HAMILTON QUAD: CARMEL 111A 4-11-97 BRET A. ROBINSON
	***	Pier Scour Calculations for Header Record BRDGE *** Constants and Input Variables
		Pier Width: 2.000
		Pier Shape Factor (K1): 1.00 Flow Angle of Attack Factor (K2): 1.00 Bed Condition Factor (K3): 1.10 Bed Material Factor (K4): 1.00 Velocity Multiplier (VM): 1.00 Depth Multiplier (YM): 1.00 **
#		Localized Hydraulic Properties X-Stations Flow WSE Depth Velocity Froude # Left Right
1 2	6.49	4300.000 794.770 9.070 12.334 .722 60877.000 60984.000 7310.000 796.922 11.222 15.614 .821 60877.000 60984.000
	*****	****** Normal end of WSPRO execution. ************************************